Annex J: Community Impact Assessment

CITY OF YORK COUNCIL

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Minerals and Waste Joint Plan – Publication Draft

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

The City of York Council as a unitary authority is also a waste and minerals planning authority and to satisfy the provisions of national planning policy it must develop the necessary policies for minerals and waste. City of York is currently preparing a Local Plan with strategic policies on minerals and waste and a separate joint minerals and waste development plan document with North Yorkshire County Council and the North York Moors National Park Authority. This is known as the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan. The Joint Plan involves identifying all waste arising in the area from all sources, such as, household, commercial, hazardous and agricultural, and demonstrating how this is dealt with spatially. With regard to minerals it is necessary to identify the requirement for minerals including aggregates and how these will be sourced. The Publication stage provides an opportunity for the people to view and comment on the preferred policies and sites before these representations are collated and submitted to the Secretary of State for next stage of the Plan process; the Examination in Public. This is the final consultation in the preparation of the Plan. Subject to the outcomes of the Examination in Public, the Plan will be adopted. The main objective of the policy is for Members to note the progress on the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan and approve the Publication Draft documents for public consultation. This will allow the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Joint Plan to be progressed.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Rebecca Harrison – Development Officer

4. Have any impacts been Identified	Community of Identity affected:	Summary of impact:
No	N/A	No impacts have been identified.

5. Date CIA completed: 6 October 2015

6. Signed off by: Rachel Macefield

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.
Name: Rachel Macefield
Position: Forward Planning Team Leader
Date: 6 October 2015

8. Decision-making body:	Date:	Decision Details:
Executive		

Send the completed signed off document to equalities@york.gov.uk. It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:	Minerals and Waste Joint Plan – Preferred Options Draft

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Details of Impact		Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Details of Impact		Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators		Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	**Reason/Action**	**Lead Officer**	**Completion Date**
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators		Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	**Reason/Action**	**Lead Officer**	**Completion Date**
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation				
Evidence		**Quality of Life Indicators**	**Customer Impact** (N/P/None)	**Staff Impact** (N/P/None)
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
Details of Impact	*Can negative impacts be justified?*	**Reason/Action**	**Lead Officer**	**Completion Date**
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A